

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/597,859	Applicant(s) GHOTGE ET AL.	
	Examiner Phung M. Chung	Art Unit 2117	

All Participants:

(1) Phung M. Chung.

(2) Mark Saralino (phone No. 216-621-1113).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/5/10 and 2/8/10.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Telephone discussions between Applicant's representative Mark Saralino and Examiner Chung were held on February 5, 2010 and February 8, 2010. Applicant's representative explained the claimed invention and proposed some changes to the above claims. However, Applicant's representative could not get approval from his clients on time. Therefore, as agrees by Mr. Saralino, Examiner will send out an office action with regard to the subject matter as discussed on the interview. (See the office action dated on 2/11/2010.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Phung M. Chung/
Primary Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)